arXiv:1303.7268v1 [math.AP] 28 Mar 2013

POHOZAEV-TYPE INEQUALITIES AND NONEXISTENCE RESULTS FOR NON C^2 SOLUTIONS OF $p(x)$-LAPLACIAN EQUATIONS.

GABRIEL LÓPEZ

Abstract. In this paper a Pohozaev type inequality is stated for variable exponent Sobolev spaces in order to prove non existence of nontrivial weak solutions for a Dirichlet problem with non-standard growth. The obtained results generalize a previous work of M. Ôtani.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. The domain Ω is said to be *star shaped* (respectively *strictly star shaped*) if $(x\cdot\nu(x)) \geqslant 0$ (respectively if $(x\cdot\nu(x)) \geqslant \rho > 0$) holds for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ with a suitable choice of the origin, where $\nu(x) = (\nu_1(x), \dots, \nu_N(x))$ denotes the outward normal unit vector at $x \in \partial\Omega$. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u = f(u), & x \in \Omega \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

In [3] in order to obtain some non existence results for Problem (1.1) with Ω star shaped some Pohozaev type identities are stated and applied to the case in which f does not depend of $p(x)$ and $u \in C^2(\Omega)$. Nevertheless, it is known [9] that for $f(u) = |u|^{q-2}u$, $1 < q < \infty$, $2 < p < \infty$, and p, q constants, nontrivial solutions of (1.1) does not belong to $C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$. The arguments in [9, Proposition 1.1] are easily extended to the variable exponent case, so that in general, results in [3] can not be applied when $\nabla u(x) = 0$, not even for solutions in $W^{2,p(x)}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p(x)}(\overline{\Omega})$. In this way, solutions of the problem,

$$(E)\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)}u = |u|^{q(x)-2}u, & x \in \Omega \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Delta_{p(x)}u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$, in general do not belong to $C^2(\Omega)$.

Existence of solutions for problem (E) is studied in [6] and [12]. The authors in [12] prove existence for the case in which the embbeding from $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ to $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is compact and moreover, they prove existence even for the case in which the embbeding from $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ to $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is not compact provided that certain functional inequality holds true.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 some necessary background in Variable Exponent Sobolev Spaces is provided including some required Compact Embedding results. In section 3, Theorem 3.2 we state and prove a Pohozaev-type

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35D05, 35J60, 58E05.

Key words and phrases. Pohozaev-type inequality, $p(x)$-Laplace operator, variable exponent Sobolev spaces.

inequality. In Section 4, as a consequence of the Pohozaev type inequality, we prove some nonexistence results of nontrivial weak solutions of problem (1.2).

2. VARIABLE EXPONENT SETTING

We recall some definitions and basic properties of the variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

For any $p \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$ we define

$$p^+ = \sup_{x\in\Omega} p(x) \quad \text{and} \quad p^- = \inf_{x\in\Omega} p(x).$$

The variable exponent Lebesgue space for measurable real-valued functions is defined as the set

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \int_\Omega |u(x)|^{p(x)}\, dx < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the *Luxemburg norm*

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0;\ \int_\Omega \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} dx \le 1 \right\},$$

which is a separable and reflexive Banach space if $1 < p^- \leqslant p^+ < \infty$. For basic properties of the variable exponent Lebesgue spaces we refer to [2], [10].

Let $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ be the conjugate space of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, obtained by conjugating the exponent pointwise that is, $1/p(x) + 1/p'(x) = 1$, [10, Corollary 2.7]. For any $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the following Hölder type inequality is valid

$$\left| \int_\Omega uv\, dx \right| \le \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)}. \tag{2.1}$$

An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces is played by the $p(\cdot)$-*modular* of the $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ space, which is the mapping $\rho_{p(\cdot)} : L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) = \int_\Omega |u|^{p(x)}\, dx.$$

If (u_n), $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ then the following relations hold

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} < 1\ (= 1;\ > 1) \ \Leftrightarrow\ \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) < 1\ (= 1;\ > 1) \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} > 1 \ \Rightarrow\ \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} \le \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \le \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} < 1 \ \Rightarrow\ \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \le \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \le \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\|u_n - u\|_{p(\cdot)} \to 0 \ \Leftrightarrow\ \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n - u) \to 0, \tag{2.5}$$

since $p^+ < \infty$. For a proof of these facts see [10].

The set $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} = \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}.$$

The space $(W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(x)})$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space if $1 < p^- \leqslant p^+ < \infty$. We note that if $q \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and $q(x) < p^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ then the embedding $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuous, where $p^*(x) = Np(x)/(N - p(x))$ if $p(x) < N$ or $p^*(x) = +\infty$ if $p(x) \ge N$ [10, Theorem 3.9 and 3.3] (see also [5, Theorem 1.3 and 1.1]).

The bounded variable exponent p is said to be Log-Hölder continuous if there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$|p(x)-p(y)| \leqslant \frac{C}{-\log(|x-y|)} \tag{2.6}$$

for all $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that $|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. A bounded exponent p is Log-Hölder continuous in Ω if and only if there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$|B|^{p_B^- - p_B^+} \leq C \tag{2.7}$$

for every ball $B \subset \Omega$ [2, Lemma 4.1.6, page 101]. Under the Log-Hölder condition smooth functions are dense in variable exponent Sobolev space [2, Proposition 11.2.3, page 346].

Finally, Compact Embedding results, as many other facts, are a very delicate and interesting issue in variable exponent spaces. For instance in [12, prop 3.1] is shown that for certain exponents with $p^*(x) > q(x) > p^*(x) - \epsilon$ (in our notation) with x in some subset of Ω the embedding from $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ to $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is not compact. On the other hand, surprisingly, if $q(x) = p^*(x)$ at some point, it is known that the embedding is compact in $\mathbb{R}^N$ see [2, Thm. 8.4.6] and references therein. In this paper we will use Proposition 3.3 of [12] which in our notation can be stated as the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $p(\cdot)$ satisfying the log-Hölder condition on the open and bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Suppose that $\partial\Omega \in C^1$ or Ω satisfies the cone condition, and $p^+ < N$. Let $q(\cdot)$ be a variable exponent on Ω such that $1 \leqslant q^-$ and*

$$\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x\in\Omega} (p^*(x) - q(x)) > 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Then $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, i. e. $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

In the next section we will require also the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p(x) < q^- < q(x) < q^+ < \infty$ a.e. in Ω. Assume that $\|u_n\|_r < C$ for $1 \leqslant r < \infty$ and $u_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$ in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Then $u_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$ in $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Given (2.2) to (2.5) it is enough to show that $\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u_n - u) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For some $\theta \in (0,1)$ satisfying $1/q^- = \theta/p^- + (1-\theta)/q^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{q(\cdot)}(u_n-u) &= \int_\Omega |u_n-u|^{q(x)}dx \leqslant \int_\Omega |u_n-u|^{q^-}dx \\ &\leqslant \left(\int_\Omega |u_n-u|^{p^-}dx\right)^{\theta q^-/p^-}\left(\int_\Omega |u_n-u|^{q^+}dx\right)^{(1-\theta)q^-/q^+} \\ &\leqslant C\left(\int_\Omega |u_n-u|^{p^-}dx\right)^{\theta q^-/p^-} \to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

given Thm. 2.11 in [1], and since $u_n \to u$ in $L^{p^-}(\Omega)$. □

3. Pohozaev-type inequalitiy

In this section we state a Pohozaev-type inequality for weak solutions u belonging to the class $\mathcal{P}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ u \in \left(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)} \cap L^{q(\cdot)} \right)(\Omega) : x_i |u|^{q(x)-2} u \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega),\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

where $p'(x) = p(x)/(p(x)-1)$ and $p^+ < N$. To this aim, we employ the techniques introduced by Hashimoto and tani in [9], [8], [13], but within the framework of variable exponent spaces, which, as the reader may notice, require much more careful estimations than those in the constant case.

Let $g_n(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be the cutoff functions such that $0 \leqslant g_n'(s) \leqslant 1,\ s \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$g_n(s) = \begin{cases} s & |s| \leqslant n, \\ (n+1)\operatorname{sign} s & |s| \geqslant n+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Let u be a weak solution of (1.2) and set $u_n = g_n(u)$ then $|u_n|^{r-2} u_n \in \left(W_0^{1,p(\cdot)} \cap L^\infty \right)(\Omega)$ for $r \in [2, \infty)$. Consider now the approximate problem

$$(E)_n \quad \begin{cases} |w_n|^{q(x)-2} w_n - \Delta_{p(x)} w_n = 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2} u_n, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Since $u_n \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $\{v_n^\varepsilon\} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\|v_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leqslant C_o, \qquad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in (0,1), \quad (3.4)$$

$$v_n^\varepsilon \to 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2} u_n, \text{ strongly in } L^{r(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0, \text{ for all } r \in [1, \infty). \quad (3.5)$$

In turn, we require another approximate equation for $(E)_n$ given by

$$(E)_n^\varepsilon \quad \begin{cases} |w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)-2} w_n^\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon = v_n^\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega \\ w_n^\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

where $A_\varepsilon u(x) = -\operatorname{div} \left\{ (|\nabla u(x)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2} \nabla u(x) \right\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. It is possible to show that (3.3) and (3.6) have unique solutions and that (3.6) and (3.3) provide good approximations respectively for (3.3) and (1.2) according to

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p(\cdot)$ satisfying the log-Hölder condition on the open and bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Suppose that $\partial\Omega \in C^1$ or Ω satisfies the cone condition, and $p^+ < N$. Then the following statements hold true:*

- *(i) For each $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique solution $w_n^\varepsilon \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ of (3.6).*
- *(ii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique solution $w_n \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ of (3.3).*
- *(iii) w_n^ε converges to w_n as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the following sense:*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^{p(x)} dx \to \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} dx \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0, \quad (3.7)$$

$$w_n^\varepsilon \to w_n \quad \text{strongly in } L^r(x)(\Omega), \quad (3.8)$$

for $r(\cdot)$ such that $1 < r^- < r(x) < r^+$ a.e. in Ω and $p^+ < N$.

(iv) w_n *converges to* u *as* $n \to \infty$ *in the following sense:*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} dx \to \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \ \text{ as } n \to \infty \tag{3.9}$$

$$\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx \to \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx, \qquad as \qquad n \to \infty, \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. (i) Since $u_n \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $\{v_n^\varepsilon\} \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\|v_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leqslant C_o, \qquad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in (0,1), \tag{3.11}$$

$$v_n^\varepsilon \to 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n, \text{ strongly in } L^r(\Omega) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0, \text{ for all } r \in [1,\infty). \tag{3.12}$$

Given that v_n^ε belongs to $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ and since $A_\varepsilon u$ is elliptic, Theorem 15.10 in [15] guarantees the existence of a unique solution $w_n^\varepsilon \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ of (3.6).

(ii) Set

$$F(z) = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla z|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|z|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - 2\int_\Omega |u_n|^{q(x)-2} u_n z dx,$$

so that $F(z)$ is strictly convex, coercive and Fréchet differentiable on $\left(W_0^{1,p(x)} \cap L^{q(x)}\right)(\Omega)$. Now, if $z_n \rightharpoonup z_o$ weakly in $\left(W_0^{1,p(x)} \cap L^{q(x)}\right)(\Omega)$, then since $p \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega,\mu)$ (for definitions see [2]) the modulars $\int_\Omega |\nabla z|^{p(x)}/p(x)dx$ and $\int_\Omega |z|^{q(x)}/q(x)dx$ are sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous [2, Thm. 3.2.9] and $\int_\Omega |u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n z dx \in (L^{q(x)}(\Omega))^*$ we conclude $\liminf_{n\to\infty} F(z_n) \geqslant F(z_o)$. Since F is bounded below, there exists $w_n \in \left(W_0^{1,p(x)} \cap L^{q(x)}\right)(\Omega)$ where F attains its minimum, and since F is Fréchet differentiable $\langle F'(w_n), \phi\rangle = 0$ for all $\phi \in \left(W_0^{1,p(x)} \cap L^{q(x)}\right)(\Omega)$, i.e. w_n solves (3.6) in the weak sense and the uniqueness follows from the strict convexity of $F(z)$. Multiplying (3.6) by $|w_n|^{r-2}w_n$ ($r \geqslant 2$ constant), using Young's ε-inequality with $\varepsilon = 1/2$, and considering that $|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n$ belongs to $L^\infty(\Omega)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)+r-2}dx + (r-1)\int_\Omega |w_n|^{p(x)}|w_n|^{r-2}dx \\ &\leqslant \int_\Omega 2(n+1)^{q(x)-1}|w_n|^{r-1}dx \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)+r-2}dx + 2^{(q^++2r-3)/(q^--1)}(n+1)^{q^++r-2}|\Omega|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

So, by [7, Thm. 1.3, p. 427]

$$\|w_n\|_{L^{q(x)+r-2}}^{q^\pm+r-2} \leqslant 2\cdot 2^{(q^++2r-3)/(q^--1)}(n+1)^{q^++r-2}|\Omega|,$$

where

$$q^\pm = \begin{cases} q^+ & \text{if } \|w_n\|_{L^{q(x)+r-2}} < 1, \\ q^- & \text{if } \|w_n\|_{L^{q(x)+r-2}} > 1. \end{cases}$$

In this way we can obtain an a priori bound for $\|w_n\|_{L^{q(x)+r-2}}$ independent of r. Letting $r \to \infty$ we get an L^∞-estimate for w_n. Therefore using [4, Thm. 1.2, p. 400] we conclude $w_n \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$.

(iii) With a similar argumentation as in (ii) we obtain

$$\|w_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leqslant C_n \quad \text{for all} \quad \varepsilon > 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Multiply (3.6) by w_n^ϵ, to obtain

$$\int_\Omega |w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega (|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2} |\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 dx = \int_\Omega v_n^\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon dx.$$

On the other hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^{p(x)} dx &= \int_\Omega (|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2)^{(p(x)-2)/2} |\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 dx \\ &\leqslant \int_\Omega (|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2} |\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 dx\end{aligned}$$

And hence

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^{p(x)} dx \leqslant \int_\Omega v_n^\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon dx.$$

Now use Young's inequality and the fact that $q(x), q'(x) > 1$ to obtain

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^{p(x)} dx \leqslant \int_\Omega |v_n^\varepsilon|^{q'(x)} dx + \int_\Omega |w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)} dx.$$

so by (3.14) and given that $v_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we deduce

$$\|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_n \quad \text{for all} \quad \varepsilon > 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Together (3.14), (3.15), and compactness Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 imply that there exists a sequence $\{w_n^{\varepsilon_k}\}$ such that for $p^+ < N$

$$w_n^{\varepsilon_k} \to w \ \text{ strongly in } L^r(\Omega), \text{ with } \ 1 \leqslant r^- < r(x) < r^+ < \infty \tag{3.16}$$

$$\nabla w_n^{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup \nabla w \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p(x)}(\Omega), \tag{3.17}$$

$$\int_\Omega |w_n^{\varepsilon_k}|^{q(x)-2} w_n^{\varepsilon_k} v \to \int_\Omega |w|^{q(x)-2} wv \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon_k \to 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in W_0^{p(x)}(\Omega). \tag{3.18}$$

Weak convergence holds since $L^{p(x)}$ spaces are uniformly convex [2, Thm. 3.4.9], and hence reflexive.

From this point we refer to [11] for all the notations and results concerning to subdifferentials. Set

$$\phi_\varepsilon(z) := \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla z|^2 + \varepsilon)^{p(x)/2} dx$$

with $D(\phi_\varepsilon) = W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ so that ϕ_ε is a convex operator according to definition in section 1.3.3 p. 24 in [11]. Noting that ϕ_ε is Fréchet differentiable and that actually

$$\phi_\varepsilon'(z)v = \langle A_\varepsilon z, v\rangle = \int_\Omega (|\nabla z|^2 + \varepsilon)^{p(x)/2} \nabla z \cdot \nabla v dx.$$

So according to [11] section 4.2.2, $A_\varepsilon \in \partial\phi_\varepsilon$ where $\partial\phi_\varepsilon$ is the subdifferential of ϕ_ε. Hence w_n^ε satisfies

$$\phi_\varepsilon(v) - \phi_\varepsilon(w_n^\varepsilon) \geqslant \int_\Omega (|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon)^{p(x)/2} \nabla w_n^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla(v - w_n^\varepsilon) dx, \qquad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

Now, by (3.6)

$$\phi_\varepsilon(v) - \phi_\varepsilon(w_n^\varepsilon) \geqslant \int_\Omega (-|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)-2} w_n^\varepsilon + v_n^\varepsilon) \cdot (v - w_n^\varepsilon) dx. \tag{3.19}$$

On the other hand, given strong convergence of $w_n^\varepsilon \to w_n$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and strong convergence of $v_n \to 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, we have that $v_n^\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon \to 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n w_n$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |v_n^\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon - 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n w_n|dx &\leqslant \int_\Omega |v_n^\varepsilon||w_n^\varepsilon - w_n|dx \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega |w_n| \left| v_n^\varepsilon - 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n \right| dx \\ &\leqslant C_o \int_\Omega |w_n^\varepsilon - w_n|dx \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega |w_n| \left| v_n^\varepsilon - 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n \right| dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

given that (3.11) holds. That the last integral goes to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$ follows after Hölder's inequality for variable exponent spaces $w_n \in L^r(\Omega)$, and (3.12).

Given that $\phi_\varepsilon(v) \to \phi_0(v)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ for all $v \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \phi_{\varepsilon_k}(w_n^{\varepsilon_k}) \geqslant \phi_{\varepsilon_k}(w) \geqslant \phi_0(w) \tag{3.21}$$

since modulars are weakly lower semicontinuous [2, Thm. 2.2.8]. Taking limits as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (3.19), and using (3.12), (3.16), (3.18) we get

$$\phi_0(v) - \phi_0(w) \quad \geqslant \quad \int_\Omega \left(-|w|^{q(x)-2}w + 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n \right) \cdot (v - w)dx,$$

for all $v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which imply, by subdifferential's definition, that

$$\int_\Omega \operatorname{div}(|\nabla w|^{p(x)-2}\nabla w) \cdot \nabla\varphi = \int_\Omega (-|w|^{q(x)-2}w + 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n) \cdot \varphi, \tag{3.22}$$

for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. We conclude that $w = w_n$, since the argument above does not depend on the choice of $\{\varepsilon_k\}$.

Multiply equation in (3.3) by w_n and equation in (3.6) by w_n^ε and integrate by parts to get

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}dx = -\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)}dx + 2\int_\Omega |u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n w_n dx$$

$$\int_\Omega (|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2}|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 dx = -\int_\Omega |w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}dx + \int_\Omega v_n^\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon dx.$$

So that (3.12) and (3.16) imply

$$\int_\Omega (|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2}|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 dx \to \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}dx \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{3.23}$$

Take $v = w = w_n$ in (3.19) and let $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (3.19) to obtain

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \phi_\varepsilon(w_n^\varepsilon) \leqslant \phi_0(w_n),$$

Last inequality and (3.21) imply

$$\int_\Omega (|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}dx \to \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}dx \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Moreover, since (3.17) holds then

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon} \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^{p(x)}dx \geqslant \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}$$

since modulars are weakly lower semicontinuous.

On the other hand, since $(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2)^{p(x)/2} \leqslant (|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}$ we have

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon} \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^{p(x)}dx \leqslant \limsup_{\varepsilon} \int_\Omega (|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}dx \leqslant \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}dx$$

Therefore we conclude (3.7).

iv) We proceed first by noticing that

$$|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n \to |u|^{q(x)-2}u \quad \text{strongly in } L^{q'(x)}(\Omega) \text{ as } n\to\infty, \tag{3.25}$$

by the uniform convexity of $L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)$. Multiply (3.3) by w_n and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)}dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}dx &= 2\int_\Omega |u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n w_n dx \\ &\leqslant 4\||u_n|^{q(x)-1}\|_{L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)}\|w_n\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)},\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

by Hölder's inequality for variable exponent Sobolev spaces [2, lemma 2.6.5]. Now, using [7, Thm. 1.3] and (3.26) we get

$$\|w_n\|^{q^\pm}_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla w_n\|^{p^\pm}_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|w_n\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)}, \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q^\pm &= \begin{cases} q^+ & \text{if} \quad \|w_n\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)} < 1 \\ q^- & \text{if} \quad \|w_n\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)} \geqslant 1, \end{cases} \\ p^\pm &= \begin{cases} p^+ & \text{if} \quad \|\nabla w_n\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)} < 1 \\ p^- & \text{if} \quad \|\nabla w_n\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)} \geqslant 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $p^\pm, q^\pm > 1$ imply that $\|w_n\|^{q^\pm}_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)}, \|\nabla w_n\|^{p^\pm}_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \leqslant C$. We use again Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 to obtain that, up to a subsequence $\{n_k\}$,

$$\nabla w_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \nabla w \quad \text{weakly in } L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \tag{3.28}$$

$$w_{n_k} \rightharpoonup w \quad \text{weakly in } L^{q(x)}(\Omega) \tag{3.29}$$

$$w_{n_k} \to w \quad \text{strongly in } L^{q(x)}(\Omega) \text{ for all } q \text{ such that } 1 \leqslant q^- < q(x) <, q^+ < \infty$$

$$\int_\Omega |w_{n_k}|^{q(x)-2}w_{n_k}\cdot v dx \to \int_\Omega |w|^{q(x)-2}w\cdot v dx \quad \text{for all } v\in L^{q'(x)}(\Omega) \text{ as } k\to\infty \tag{3.30}$$

Given that w_n is solution of (3.3) subdifferential's definition leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla v|^{p(x)}dx - \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}dx &= \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla v|^{p(x)}dx - \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}dx \\ &\geqslant \int_\Omega (-|w_n|^{q(x)-2}w_n + 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n)(v-w_n)dx \\ &\geqslant \int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)}dx - \int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)-2}w_n v dx + 2\int_\Omega |u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n(v-w_n)dx,\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

for all $v\in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and for n such that *supp* $v\subset\Omega$. Let $n=n_k\to\infty$ in (3.31) and recall (3.25), (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30) to obtain

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla v|^{p(x)}dx - \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla w|^{p(x)}dx \geqslant \int_\Omega (-|w|^{q(x)-2}w + 2|u|^{q(x)-2}u)(v-w)dx, \tag{3.32}$$

for all $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Now put $v = w + tz$ with $z \in C_o^\infty(\Omega)$ and let $t \to 0^+$, $t \to 0^-$ in (3.32) and use the definition of Fréchet derivative to see that w satisfies

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla w|^{p(x)-2}\nabla w \cdot \nabla z + \int_\Omega |w|^{q(x)-2} wz dx = 2\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)-2} uz dx$$

for all $z \in C_o^\infty(\Omega)$. Hence

$$|w|^{q(x)-2}w - \Delta_{p(x)} w = |u|^{q(x)-2}u - \Delta_{p(x)} u$$

in the sense of distributions. That $w = u$ follows from well known inequality

$$|a-b|^p \leqslant C_p \left\{(|a|^{p-2}a - |b|^{p-2}b)\cdot(a-b)\right\}^{s/2} (|a|^p + |b|^p)^{1-s/2}$$

which holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ where $s = p$ if $p \in (1,2)$ and $s = 2$ if $p \geqslant 2$, and $C_p > 0$ does not depend on a, b. Since the above argument does not depend on the choice of subsequences, (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30) hold for $n_k = n$.

Taking into account (3.25), (3.26), (3.28) and (3.29) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx &= \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \\
&\leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} \left(\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} dx\right) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} dx\right) \\
&\leqslant 2\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} dx\right) = \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx$$

Further, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx &\leqslant \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx \leqslant \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx \\
&= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx - \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx\right) \\
&\leqslant \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx\right) - \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx \\
&\leqslant \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx = \int_\Omega |u|^{p(x)} dx$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} dx = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx.$$

$\square$

In order to obtain a Pohozaev type inequality we introduce the function

$$\mathcal{F}(x,u,s) := \frac{|u(x)|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} + \frac{(|s|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{p(x)} - v_n^{\varepsilon}(x)u(x) \tag{3.33}$$

where $s=(s_1,\dots,s_N)$, which will be used in the context of a Pucci-Serrin formula [14].

Theorem 3.2 (Pohozaev type inequality)**.** *Let u be a weak solution of (1.2) belonging to $\mathcal{P}$. Then u satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_\Omega \frac{N}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)}dx + \int_\Omega \frac{N-p(x)}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}dx \\ + \int_\Omega x\cdot\nabla p(x)\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)^2}\log\left(e^{-1}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}\right)dx \\ - \int_\Omega x\cdot\nabla q(x)\frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)^2}\log\left(e^{-1}|u|^{q(x)}\right)dx + R \le 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

where

$$R = \frac{p^\dagger-1}{p^+}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon\right)^{p(x)/2}(x\cdot\nu(x))dS, p^\dagger = \min_{x\in\Omega}\{2,p(x)\},$$

and w_n^ε is the solution of (3.6) uniquely determined by u.

Proof. In (3.33) denote by $\mathcal{F}_s(x,u,s)=(\partial_{s_1}\mathcal{F},\dots,\partial_{s_N}\mathcal{F})$, so that

$$\partial_{s_i}\mathcal{F}(x,u,s) = (|s|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2-1}s_i.$$

hence we denote

$$\partial_{s_i}\mathcal{F}(x,u,\nabla u) = (|\nabla u|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2-1}\partial_i u.$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_s(x,u,\nabla u) = (|\nabla u|^2+\varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2}\nabla u.$$

So that

$$\operatorname{div}\mathcal{F}(x,u,\nabla u) = -A_\varepsilon u,$$

where, we recall, A_ε is defined after (3.6). Finally, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\mathcal{F}(x,u,\nabla u) &= (\partial_{x_1}\mathcal{F},\dots,\partial_{x_N}\mathcal{F}) \\ &= (\partial_1\mathcal{F},\dots,\partial_N\mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i\mathcal{F} &= \partial_i\left(\frac{|u(x)|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} + \frac{(|s|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{p(x)} - v_n^\varepsilon(x)u(x)\right) \\ &= \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2}\big(\log|u|^{q(x)}-1\big)\partial_i q(x) + |u|^{q(x)-2}u\partial_i u \\ &\quad + \frac{(|\nabla u|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{2(p(x))^2}\big(\log(|\nabla u|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)}-1\big)\partial_i p(x) \\ &\quad + (|\nabla u|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2-1}\partial_i(|\nabla u|^2) - \big[(\partial_i v_n^\varepsilon)u + v_n^\varepsilon\partial_i u\big] \end{aligned}$$

We will make use the Pucci-Serrin formula [14, Prop. 1, p. 683] in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}\Big[\mathcal{F}(x,0,\nabla u)-\nabla u\cdot\mathcal{F}_s(x,0,\nabla u)\Big](h\cdot\nu)dS\\ =\int_\Omega\Big[\mathcal{F}(x,u,\nabla u)\operatorname{div}h+h\cdot\nabla\mathcal{F}(x,u,\nabla u)-(h\cdot\nabla u)\operatorname{div}\mathcal{F}_s(x,u,\nabla u)\\ -\mathcal{F}_s(x,u,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla(h\cdot\nabla u)-au\operatorname{div}\mathcal{F}_s(x,u,\nabla u)\\ -\nabla(au)\cdot\mathcal{F}_s(x,u,\nabla u)\Big]dx\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

Taking a constant, $h=x=(x_1,\dots,x_n)$, $u=w_n^\varepsilon$ equation (3.35) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{p(x)}(x\cdot\nu)dS-\int_{\partial\Omega}(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2-1}|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2(x\cdot\nu)dS=\\ &=\int_\Omega N\left(\frac{|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}}{q(x)}+\frac{(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{p(x)}-v_n^\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon\right)dx+\int_\Omega(x\cdot\nabla q(x))\frac{|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2}\big(\log|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}-1\big)dx\\ &\quad+\int_\Omega(x\cdot\nabla p(x))\frac{(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{(p(x))^2}\big(\log(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}-1\big)dx-\int_\Omega w_n^\varepsilon(x\cdot\nabla v_n^\varepsilon)dx\\ &-\int_\Omega(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2}|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2dx+\int_\Omega aw_n^\varepsilon A_\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon dx-\int_\Omega(\nabla(aw_n^\varepsilon)\cdot\nabla w_n^\varepsilon)(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2}dx.\end{aligned}\tag{3.36}$$

For the surface integrals in (3.36) adding and subtracting the integral $\varepsilon\int_{\partial\Omega}(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2-1}(x\cdot\nu)dS$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{p(x)}(x\cdot\nu)dS-\int_{\partial\Omega}(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2-1}|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2(x\cdot\nu)dS=\\ &=\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}-1\right)(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}(x\cdot\nu)dS+\varepsilon\int_{\partial\Omega}(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2-1}(x\cdot\nu)dS\end{aligned}\tag{3.37}$$

On the other hand, since $(x\cdot\nu(x))\geqslant 0$ for all $x\in\partial\Omega$, then

$$\varepsilon\int_{\partial\Omega}(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2-1}(x\cdot\nu)dS\leqslant\begin{cases}\int_{\partial\Omega}\varepsilon^{p(x)/2}(x\cdot\nu(x))dS, & \text{if}\quad 1<p(x)\leqslant 2,\\ \int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{p(x)-2}{p(x)}(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}(x\cdot\nu)dS+\\ \quad+\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{2}{p(x)}\varepsilon^{p(x)/2}(x\cdot\nu(x))dS, & \text{if}\quad 2<p(x).\end{cases}\tag{3.38}$$

Now we analyze what happen with each term in (3.36) as $\varepsilon\to 0$. We begin with the last term and we continue the analysis going down to up into the equation:

(1) $-\int_\Omega(\nabla(aw_n^\varepsilon)\cdot\nabla w_n^\varepsilon)(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2}dx\to -a\int_\Omega|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}dx$ by (3.23).

(2) $\int_\Omega aw_n^\varepsilon A_\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon dx\to a\left(\int_\Omega 2|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_nw_ndx-\int_\Omega|w_n|^{q(x)}dx\right)$ by (3.6) and (3.20).

(3) $-\int_\Omega(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{(p(x)-2)/2}|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2dx\to-\int_\Omega|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}dx$ by (3.23).

(4) For the term $-\int_\Omega w_n^\varepsilon(x\cdot\nabla v_n^\varepsilon)dx$ we make the following estimations

$$-\int_\Omega w_n^\varepsilon(x\cdot\nabla v_n^\varepsilon)dx=-\int_\Omega x\cdot\nabla(w_n^\varepsilon v_n^\varepsilon)dx+\int_\Omega v_n^\varepsilon x\cdot\nabla w_n^\varepsilon dx.\tag{3.39}$$

Note that $\int_\Omega v_n^\varepsilon x\cdot\nabla w_n^\varepsilon dx\to 2\int_\Omega|u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_nx\cdot\nabla w_ndx$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$, by a similar proof as in (3.20).

On the other hand, calculating the first term in the right hand side of (3.39),

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_\Omega x\cdot\nabla(w_n^\varepsilon v_n^\varepsilon)dx &= \int_\Omega v_n^\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} x\,dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} v_n^\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon (x\cdot\nu)dS \\ &= N\int_\Omega v_n^\varepsilon w_n^\varepsilon dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

(5) We claim that

$$\int_\Omega (x\cdot\nabla q(x))\frac{|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2}\big(\log|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}-1\big)dx \to \int_\Omega (x\cdot\nabla q(x))\frac{|w_n|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2}\big(\log|w_n|^{q(x)}-1\big)dx \tag{3.41}$$

and

$$\int_\Omega (x\cdot\nabla p(x))\frac{(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{(p(x))^2}\big(\log(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}-1\big)dx \to \int_\Omega (x\cdot\nabla p(x))\frac{|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}}{(p(x))^2}\big(\log|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}-1\big)dx \tag{3.42}$$

for $\eta > 0$.

Fix

$$I_1 := \int_\Omega (x\cdot\nabla q(x))\frac{|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2}\log|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}dx$$

and

$$I_2 := \int_\Omega (x\cdot\nabla p(x))\frac{(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{(p(x))^2}\log(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}dx.$$

In order to prove (3.41) and (3.42), we estimate I_1 by distinguishing the cases $|w_n^\varepsilon| \le 1$, and $|w_n^\varepsilon| > 1$. Notice that the relations

$$\sup_{0\le t\le 1} t^\eta|\log t| < \infty \tag{3.43}$$

$$\sup_{t>1} t^{-\eta}\log t < \infty \tag{3.44}$$

hold for $\eta > 0$.

Set $\Omega_1 := \{x\in\Omega : |w_n^\varepsilon(x)| \le 1\}$ and $\Omega_2 := \{x\in\Omega : |w_n^\varepsilon(x)| > 1\}$. We can choose $k\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $p(x)-1/k \ge p^-$. Since $w_n^\varepsilon \in L^{p^-}(\Omega)$ and in Ω_1, $|w_n^\varepsilon(x)| \le 1$ we have

$$\left|(x\cdot\nabla q(x))\frac{|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2}\log|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}\right| \le C|w_n^\varepsilon(x)|^{p(x)-1/m} \le C|w_n^\varepsilon(x)|^{p^-}, \tag{3.45}$$

for $m > k$.

For Ω_2 we can choose k' such that $p(x)+1/k' \le (p(x))^* = Np(x)/(N-p(x))$. So

$$\left|(x\cdot\nabla q(x))\frac{|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2}\log|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}\right| \le C|w_n^\varepsilon(x)|^{p(x)+1/m} \le C|w_n^\varepsilon(x)|^{(p(x))^*}, \tag{3.46}$$

for $m > k'$, and $x \in \Omega_2$. Therefore (3.45), (3.46), and the convergence of w_n^ε in Lemma 3.1 imply that there exists $h(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\left|(x \cdot \nabla q(x)) \frac{|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2} \log |w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}\right| \leq h(x) \tag{3.47}$$

On the other hand, given the convergence Lemma 3.1, assertion (3.8) and the continuity of the log function, we may conclude that

$$(x \cdot \nabla q(x)) \frac{|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2} \log |w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)} \to (x \cdot \nabla q(x)) \frac{|w_n|^{q(x)}}{(q(x))^2} \log |w_n|^{q(x)} \tag{3.48}$$

a.e. in Ω as $\varepsilon \to 0$. With (3.47), (3.48), and the Lebesgue convergence Theorem the claim of point (5) follows.

(6) Finally, $\int_\Omega N \left(\frac{|w_n^\varepsilon|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} + \frac{(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon)^{p(x)/2}}{p(x)} \right) dx \to \int_\Omega N \left(\frac{|w_n|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} + \frac{|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \right) dx$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ by (3.23) and (3.8).

Considering points (1) to (6), identities (3.36), (3.37), and inequality (3.38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N \int_\Omega \frac{|w_n|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega \frac{N - p(x)}{p(x)} |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} dx + \int_\Omega x \cdot \nabla p(x) \frac{|\nabla w_n|^{p(x)}}{p(x)^2} \left(\log |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} - 1 \right) dx \\ + \int_\Omega x \cdot \nabla q(x) \frac{|w_n|^{q(x)}}{q(x)^2} \left(\log |w_n|^{q(x)} - 1 \right) dx + 2 \int_\Omega |u_n|^{q(x)-2} u_n x \cdot \nabla w_n dx \\ + a \left(\int_\Omega 2 |u_n|^{q(x)-2} u_n w_n dx - \int_\Omega |w_n|^{q(x)} dx - \int_\Omega |\nabla w_n|^{p(x)} dx \right) + R_n \leq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

where $R_n = \frac{p^\dagger - 1}{p^+} \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \right)^{p(x)/2} (x \cdot \nu(x)) dS$, and $p^\dagger = \min_{x \in \Omega} \{2, p(x)\}$.

Now let $n \to \infty$ in (3.49) and take into account (3.9), (3.10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx + \int_\Omega \frac{N - p(x)}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx + \int_\Omega x \cdot \nabla p(x) \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)^2} \left(\log |\nabla u|^{p(x)} - 1 \right) dx \\ + \int_\Omega x \cdot \nabla q(x) \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)^2} \left(\log |u|^{q(x)} - 1 \right) dx + 2 \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)-2} u \left(x \cdot \nabla u \right) dx \\ + a \left(\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx - \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right) + R \leq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

where $R = \frac{p^\dagger - 1}{p^+} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon \right)^{p(x)/2} (x \cdot \nu(x)) dS.$

Further, notice that since u is a weak solution of (1.2),

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx - \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx = 0. \tag{3.51}$$

In fact, multiplying (1.2) by $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, and integrating by parts, we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u dx = \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)-2} u \varphi dx.$$

Taking $\varphi = u$ we get (3.51) as wanted. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \frac{x\cdot\nabla|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)}dx &= \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)-2}u(x\cdot\nabla u)dx \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega \frac{1}{q(x)^2}|u|^{q(x)}\log|u|^{q(x)}(x\cdot\nabla q(x))dx, \qquad (3.52)\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \frac{x\cdot\nabla|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)}dx &= -\int_\Omega \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{x}{q(x)}\right)|u|^{q(x)}dx + \int_{\partial\Omega}|u|^{q(x)}\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\left(\frac{x}{q(x)}\right)dS \\ &\quad -N\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)}dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{q(x)}x\cdot\nabla q(x)}{q(x)^2}dx. \qquad (3.53)\end{aligned}$$

Hence from (3.52), (3.53)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)-2}u(x\cdot\nabla u)dx &= -N\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)}dx \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{q(x)}x\cdot\nabla q(x)}{q(x)^2}\left(1-\log|u|^{q(x)}\right)dx \qquad (3.54)\end{aligned}$$

We derive inequality (3.34) by substituting (3.51) and (3.54) in (3.50) . □

4. NONEXISTENCE OF NONTRIVIAL SOLUTIONS

Now we can state a Non Existence Theorem which is a generalization to variable exponent Sobolev spaces of Theorem III, p. 142 in [13]. The proofs are similar to those in [13], but are included here for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 4.1. *Consider the Problem (1.2), where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain of Class C^1, $p(\cdot)$ is a log-Hölder exponent with $1 < p^- \leqslant p(x) \leqslant p^+ < N$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be as defined in (3.1). Then we have:*

i) If Ω is star-shaped and $q^- > (p^+)^*$ then Problem (1.2) has not a nontrivial weak solution belonging to $\mathcal{P}\cap\mathcal{E}$ where

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ u : \int_\Omega \log\left(\frac{\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)}e^{-1}\right)^{\frac{x\cdot\nabla p}{p^2}|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}}{\left(|u|^{q(x)}e^{-1}\right)^{\frac{x\cdot\nabla q}{q^2}|u|^{q(x)}}} \right) dx \geqslant 0 \right\}.$$

ii) If Ω is strictly star-shaped and $q^- = (p^+)^*$ then Problem (1.2) has not a nontrivial weak solution of definite sign belonging to $\mathcal{P}\cap\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. i) If Ω is star-shaped, $R \geqslant 0$ in (3.34). Then it follows that

$$\left(\frac{N-p^+}{p^+} - \frac{N}{q^-}\right)\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)}dx \leqslant 0.$$

So $u \equiv 0$.

ii) If Ω is strictly star-shaped, $R = 0$ in (3.34), so

$$0 = R \geqslant \rho \limsup_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon\right)^{p(x)/2}dS.$$

Since $\rho > 0$ we have

$$0 = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\partial\Omega}\left(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon\right)^{p(x)/2}dS.$$

Multiplying the PDE in (3.6) by $v(x) \equiv 1$, integrating by parts, and taking $\limsup$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $n \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\left| \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)-2} u dx \right| \leqslant C \limsup_{n\to\infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(|\nabla w_n^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon\right)^{p(x)/2} dS = 0, \quad C \geqslant 0.$$

Therefore $\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)-2} u dx = 0$. $\square$

References

[1] Adams R. A., Fournier J. J. F, *Sobolev Spaces, 2nd edition,* Academic Press 2003.

[2] Diening L., Harjulehto P., Hästö P., Ružička M., *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2017, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011.

[3] Dinca G., Isia F., *Generalizad Pohozaev and Pucci-Serrin identities and non existence results for $p(x)$-laplacian type equations,* Rendiconti del circolo Matematico di Palermo 59, 1-46 (2010).

[4] Fan X., *Global $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity for variable exponent elliptic equations in divergence form,* Journal of Differential Equations 235 (2007) 397-417.

[5] Fan X., Shen J. and Zhao D., *Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 262 (2001), 749-760.

[6] Fan X., Zhang., *Existence of solutions for p(x)-Laplacian Dirichlet problem,* Nonlinear Analysis 52 (2003) 1843-1852.

[7] Fan X., Zhao D., *On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$,* Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 263, 424-446 (2001).

[8] Hashimoto T., *Pohozaev-type inequalities for weak solutions of elliptic equations,* Kyoto University Research Information Repository, 951, 1996, 126-135.

[9] Hasimoto T. and tani M., *Nonexistence of Weak Solutions of Nonlinear Elliptic Equations in Exterior Domains,* Houston Journal of Mathematics, Vol.23, No. 2, 1997, 267-290.

[10] Kováčik O. and Rákosnik J., *On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$*. Czech. Math. J. 41(1991), 592-618.

[11] Kusraev A. G. and Kutateladze S. S. *Subdifferentials: Theory and Applications,* Kluwer Academic Publishers 1995.

[12] Mizuta Y., Ohno T., Shimomura T., Shioji N., *Compact Embeddings for Sobolev Spaces of variable Expopnent and existence of solutions for Nonlinear Elliptic Problems involving the p(x)-laplacian and its Critical Expopnent,* Annales Academiae Scienciarum Fennicae Mathematica Vol. 35, 2010, 115-130.

[13] tani M., *Existence and Nonexistence of Nontrivial Solutions of Some Nonlinear Degenerate Elliptic Equations,* Journal of Functional Analysis, 76, 140-159 (1988).

[14] Pucci P., Serrin J., *A General Variational Identity,* Indiana University Mathematics Journal, Vol. 35, No. 3 (1986), 681-703.

[15] Gilbarg D., Trudinger N. S., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order,* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2001.

Gabriel López G.
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana , México D.F, México
E-mail address: `gabl@xanum.uam.mx`